Peer Review Sheet of a WebTech Assignment

(please do not upload to L²P but send preferably as .doc by email to cakir@cil.rwth-aachen.de)

**Your group name:** Studystuff

**Assignment #:**  3

**The name of the reviewed group**: DiLeGo

**How do you like the code of the group?**

\_The code is nearly flawless; conventions are met.

\_Very good documentation of the code, which makes it much easier to read!

(comments using Documentation standard)

\_used HTML5 tags : header,footer, form fields(input type=numbers,date, email)

\_They also used geolocation, media embed

\_Its good that they used Bootstrap from the beginning.

**What parts of the code would you improve?**

\_also use HTML5’s “required” form fields because right now, if you directly click on the “OK” button in “Book a ticket” it submits form without validation(i.e. email).

**What future steps do you suggest?**

\_They already used Bootstrap.css. So, it would be very good if they make their site responsive also.(presently its not responsive.)

\_Documentation comments missing in CSS(but not much Important)

\_Maintain the good quality code with documentation comments !

**How would you grade the work of the group on a scale from 1 (very good) to 5 (bad)?**

1.3

**Phrase 4-5 questions that you would like to ask the reviewed group:**

**Assignment 3.2:**

The Name-tag is not part of the output of the selected nodes, because “//\*” selects all descendants of the current node.

\_ Why you choose RottenTomatoes API ? (except used to get a list of currently playing movies - any other specific reason if they have ?)

\_All other requirements of the Assignment 3 is satisfied, so we don’t have any other questions.